

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandran, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,441	11/03/2003	Se Kit Yuen	Q77986	1528	
23373 7590 04/10/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	l.W.	CONLEY, SE	CONLEY, SEAN EVERETT	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,	1797			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/10/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/698,441	YUEN, SE KIT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SEAN E. CONLEY	1797	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 25 March 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION. As Pem PEP 106.07 THE PROPERTY OF THE FINAL REJECTION.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. A ray reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. \(\) For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\) will not be entered, or b) \(\) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5, 7 and 9-11.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\overline{\text{Z}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\overline{\text{See Continuation Sheet.}}\)
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:
William H. Beisner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All of the applicant's arguments are not pursuasive. First, regarding the 112, 1st paragraph rejection, the applicant argues that the limitation "for forcing the air from the air inlet once around the ultraviolet band C radiation tube" is clearly supported by Fig. 3 and within the text of pages 5 and 7 of the specification. The applicant's arguments its pursuasive and therefore this rejection is withdrawn. The applicant's arguments directed to the rejection for lains 3-5, 7 and 3-11 under 35 USC 103(a) have been considered but they are not pursusuasive. Regarding claim 9, the claimed limitation of "for forcing the air from the air inlet once around the ultraviolet band C radiation tube" is fully met by the combination of the prior air references cited in the finial rejection. The applicant specifically points to the disclosure of Palestro that states that the air circulates around the ultraviolet tubes twice and the applicant specifically points to the disclosure on pass around the tube. The examiner disagrees. Claim 9 only requires that prior at teach or suggest that the air is forced once around the ultraviolet tube. The claim language is not limited to only a single pass around the ultraviolet bube. Therefore, a prior art reference such as Palestro that teachs multiple passes around the tube fully meets the claim limitation of "once around the ultraviolet band C radiation tube". More specifically, a teaching of multiple passes around the final rejection. Claims 3-5 and 7 also remain rejected under \$5 U.S.C. (103(a) as indicated in the final rejection.